SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 2, 2009 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S176213 B209568 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. RUTTERSCHMIDT (OLGA)

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review by appellant Golay is granted.

The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following: (1) Was defendant denied her right of confrontation under the Sixth Amendment when a supervising criminalist testified to the result of drug tests and the report prepared by another criminalist? (2) How does the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts* (2009) 557 U.S. _____, 129 S.Ct. 2527, 174 L.Ed.2d 314, affect this court's decision in *People v. Geier* (2007) 41 Cal.4th 555?

The petition for review by appellant Rutterschmidt is denied.

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S176574 F056729 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. VILLALOBOS (RAMIRO)

Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S176620 B211622 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ (HUGO)

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted.

The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following: (1) Was defendant denied his right of confrontation under the Sixth Amendment when (a) one nurse practitioner testified to the results of a sexual assault examination and the report prepared by another, and (b) a supervising criminalist testified to the result of DNA tests and the report prepared by another? (2) How does the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts* (2009) 557 U.S. _____, 129 S.Ct. 2527, 174 L.Ed.2d 314, affect this court's decision in *People v. Geier* (2007) 41 Cal.4th 555?

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S176886 C055923 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DUNGO (REYNALDO SANTOS)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

The parties will brief and argue the following issues: (1) Was defendant denied his right of confrontation under the Sixth Amendment when one forensic pathologist testified to the manner and cause of death in a murder case based upon an autopsy report prepared by another pathologist? (2) How does the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts* (2009) 557 U.S. _____, 129 S.Ct. 2527, 174 L.Ed.2d 314, affect this court's decision in *People v. Geier* (2007) 41 Cal.4th 555?

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S176923 G036560 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TRAN (QUANG MINH)

Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S176943 A122723 First Appellate District, Div. 5 FRANCHISE TAX BOARD v. S.C. (GONZALES)

Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S176983 E047015 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ENGRAM (TERRION MARCUS)

Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S177046 D052885 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (VIRGINIA HERNANDEZ)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

The parties will brief and argue the following issues: (1) Was defendant denied his right of confrontation under the Sixth Amendment when the trial court admitted into evidence the results of blood-alcohol level tests and a report prepared by a criminalist who did not testify at trial? (2) Was the error prejudicial in light of the testimony of a supervising criminalist about testing procedures at the lab? (3) How does the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts* (2009) 557 U.S. _____, 129 S.Ct. 2527, 174 L.Ed.2d 314, affect this court's decision in *People v. Geier* (2007) 41 Cal.4th 555?

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S177075 B210628 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 CORTEZ (OCTOVIANO) v. ABICH (LOURDES)

Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S177073 F058050 Fifth Appellate District

SANTILLAN (GEORGE) v. THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF FRESNO

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight

The petition for transfer of actions is granted.

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, are transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight:

Santillan v. The Roman Catholic Bishop of Fresno, case no. F058050

Santillan v. The Roman Catholic Bishop of Fresno, case no. F058477

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S152272 B186073 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. CRUZ (ENRIQUE)**Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Jones* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 566. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S157924 B192640 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 JAFARI (DAVAR) v. EMC INSURANCE COMPANIES

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, after hold The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Delgado v. Interinsurance Exchange of the Automobile Club of Southern California et al.* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 302. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S165269 B198855 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

SUTTON (VINCENT) v.
INTERINSURANCE
EXCHANGE OF THE
AUTOMOBILE CLUB OF
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, after hold The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Delgado v. Interinsurance Exchange of the Automobile Club of Southern California et al.* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 302. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S119946

DUNKLE (JON SCOTT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed on October 21, 2003, is denied. All claims are denied on the merits except claims VII and IX. Claims VII and IX are denied as premature, without prejudice to their renewal after an execution date is set.

S130314

SAPP (JOHN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus, including all claims and subclaims, is denied on the merits. All claims except 15, 16, 17, and 18 are denied as untimely. (*In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 787.) In addition, subclaims 3 (B) and 3 (E) are denied on the ground that they were raised and rejected on appeal. (*In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.) And subclaim 13 (B) is denied on the ground that it could have been, but was not, raised on appeal. (*In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S176244 C058413 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. FIELDS (JOHNNIE LEE)

Petition for review denied

S176324 F055768 Fifth Appellate District

JOHNSON (DEMIAN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

Kennard and Werdegar, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S176330 B209082 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LEMUS (JOSHUA) Petition for review denied PEOPLE v. HOCHANADEL S176372 D054743 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 (STACY ROBERT) Petitions for review denied Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petitions should be granted. S176452 A121336 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. THORN (RICHARD) Petition for review denied B216806 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 S176457 **DELACRUZ (JERRY EMERY)** ON H.C. Petition for review denied S176461 B218604 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 VAN HOUTEN (LESLIE) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S176466 B209107 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. PALOMINO (JOSHUA) Petition for review denied S176472 G040378 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CHAVERS (LANITA MICHELLE) Petition for review denied S176486 B218476 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 K.S. v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied

S176500 A118184 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (JORGE JAVIER)

S176509 B207616 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. MOORE (KYRON JOHN ALEXANDER)

Petition for review denied

S176539 E045559 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (LARRY FRANK)

Petition for review denied

S176542 C062805 Third Appellate District JORDAN (PAUL) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

S176544 A125964 First Appellate District, Div. 4 EDWARDS (LARRY) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

S176546

B218447 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

RODRIGUEZ (RAUL) v. S.C.
(LOS ANGELES COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT)

Petition for review denied

S176550 A121530 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MORGAIN (MARKEL RAY)

Petition for review denied

Kennard and Werdegar, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S176554 B208600 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. AHMADPOUR (ABULGHASEN)

Petition for review denied

S176560 B198592 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. ROBINSON (CORY QURAN)

Petitions for review denied

S176565 A126038 First Appellate District, Div. 2 BRYANT (RODNEY ALTON) ON H.C.

S176627 B218335 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 SMITH (JOHNNY COVAL) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S176629 H034641 Sixth Appellate District HATTON (NOLAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

Kennard and Werdegar, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S176630 B209611 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. PATTERSON (STEPHEN)

Petition for review denied

S176633 B218559 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 SARDELLA-LAGOMARSINO

(MARC) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

S176636 B209515 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ

(MICHAEL SANTILLAN)

Petition for review denied

S176637 F056112 Fifth Appellate District IN RE A.B.

Petition for review denied

S176638 B204863 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GRAHAM (BORIS

GEORGE)

Petition for review denied

S176641 B204561 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. FLORES

(CARLOS)

Petition for review denied

S176643 E044714 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ

(EUSEBIO)

S176644 B211536 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. GLASS (CHARLES JAMES) Petition for review denied S176647 E049136 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 BARBER (JEWELL) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S176649 A123800 First Appellate District, Div. 2 LASKEY (LAURIE MARIE) v. ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC. Petition for review denied D055629 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S176651 **BROWN II (WILLIAM ODESSA) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied S176658 B209739 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. ARGO (DAVID CLYDE) Petition for review denied S176662 B218704 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PATTERSON (KELVIN) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S176681 A115777 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. GREEN (GRESHINAL) Petitions for review denied S176691 A120335 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. CARRUTH (ANTOINE RAMONT)

IN RE N.G.

S176694 F056424 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

S176701 B209493 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. DOWELL (RICKEY TRIMAINE)

Petition for review denied

S176705 B218737 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 MACIAS (EDWARD) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S176710 C059218 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

(ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S176718 A120049 First Appellate District, Div. 2 SEQUOIA PARK

ASSOCIATES v. COUNTY OF

SONOMA

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S176719 G042623 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 NGUYEN (THANH) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S176730 D053134 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 COFFMAN SPECIALTIES,

INC. v. DEPARTMENT OF

TRANSPORTATION

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S176732 H034498 Sixth Appellate District GAASS (HOWARD J.) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Picklesimer*, \$165680.

S176739 E046024 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. GONZALES**

(LEROY)

S176740 B208944 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LONE STAR SECURITY &

VIDEO, INC. v. BUREAU OF

SECURITY &

INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

Petition for review denied

S176746 A120422 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. PAYNE (THOMAS

ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S176749 C057628 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. LE (LONG PHI)

Petition for review denied

S176750 H034497 Sixth Appellate District SHREVE (ROBERT) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Picklesimer*, \$165680.

S176754 A119671 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. REHFELD (VERA

INEZ)

Petition for review denied

S176756 G039967 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ADAMS (GUY

SINCLAIR)

Petition for review denied

S176758 A122100 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ASKEW

(NATHANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S176778 G040670 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BURGESS

(JEFFREY LYNN)

S176788 E049189 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

URIBE (DAVID RUBEN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

I cutton for feview defined

S176801 A118369 First Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. APPICE (NICHOLAS ERIC)

Petition for review denied

S176841 B206853 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. MORAN

(LORENZO)

Petition for review denied

S176843 C055692 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. HACH (THONG)

S176844 B207446 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. ALLAH (JOHN)

S176851 C059957 Third Appellate District

WATSON (LOUIS H.) v. S.C. (MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S176853 A122197 First Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v.

AREVALOHERRERA (JOSE

JESUS)

Petition for review denied

S176882 B218745 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

SHULL (LUIS ALONZO) v. S.C. (CITY OF LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT)

Petition for review denied

Kennard and Moreno, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S176888 D053371 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 HYLTON (ELDON) v. FRANK E. ROGOZIENSKI, INC.

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S176903 B209466 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 GIBSON (SONNY) v. HSBC BANK USA

Petition for review denied

S176906 A122843 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HELMING (CARMELLA)

Petition for review denied

S176907 A123701 First Appellate District, Div. 5 KARMIN (JERROLD) v. MARINE EXPRESS, INC.

Petition for review denied

S176912 B210084 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 CITY OF CLAREMONT v. KRUSE (DARRELL)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S176918 A121967 First Appellate District, Div. 5 JORDON (ANISSA) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S176929 B208117 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE R.O.

Petition for review denied

S176932 B218990 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 CARRILLO (FERNANDO Z.)

ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S176945 A126085 First Appellate District, Div. 1 BREWER (PERRY) ON H.C.

S176947 H034739 Sixth Appellate District

RIGONI (JOHN WILLIAM) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S176954 F054698 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SHAW (JAMES

DOUGLAS)

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S176957 H032559 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. NEVAREZ (AGUSTIN JESUS)

Petition for review denied

S176974 B210943 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

HENSTORF (JAN E.) v.

STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND

Petition for review denied

S176992 B208804 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

PEOPLE v. MEEKS

(BRANDON)

Petition for review denied

S176993 B208525 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

WENCES (CESAR) v. CITY

OF LOS ANGELES

Petition for review denied

S177007 A123599 First Appellate District, Div. 2

IN RE LUIS F.

Petition for review denied

S177009 E046930 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. HOLMES (HENRY

JOHN)

Petition for review denied

Werdegar, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S177013 D054024 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RIVERA (ABEL)

Petition for review denied

S177030 G041519 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE JOSE T.

Petition for review denied

S177032 C058114 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CARBAJAL (CLEMENTE SANCHEZ)

Petition for review denied

S177045 E045614 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (RALPH)

Petition for review denied

S177051 E046039 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BAILEY

(MICHAEL JERMAINE)

Petition for review denied

S177055 B208702 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. CLAYCOMB

(WILLIAM)

Petition for review denied

S177058 A122992/A123320 First Appellate District, Div. 3 WATKINS (RONNIE) v.

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Petition for review denied

Moreno, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S177064 F056363 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. EDWARDS

(MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

Baxter, J, was recused and did not participate.

S177070 G039836 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HART (OTIS

D'ANDRE)

S177078 C063039 Third Appellate District PAYNE (GERALD J.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S177082 A123928 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE J.S.

Petition for review denied

S177117 F055320 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. QUIROGA

(MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S177122 B210011 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 CLINTON (THOMAS) v.

BRENNER (GREGORY)

Petition for review denied

S177135 E046317 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GALLEGOS (GABRIEL GONZALEZ)

Petition for review denied

S177144 E045596 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GUZMAN (ALBERT RALPH)

Petition for review denied

S177162 B209946 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. LOVATO (JOSE

FRANCISCO)

Petition for review denied

S177166 H033263 Sixth Appellate District MANGAR (STEVEN) v. CARPENTIER (BRAD)

Petition for review denied

S177168 F058112 Fifth Appellate District KIM (ESTHER H.) v. SHULER

(JAMES)

Petition for review denied

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

SAN FRANCISCO

S177169 H034411 Sixth Appellate District

PEREZ (DAVID) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S177170 A121341 First Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. WALKER (BRYAN CLAYTON)

Petition for review denied

S177172 F056982 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

IN RE P.G.

S177173 G042699 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S177176 H033832 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

IN RE M.R.

S177177

Petition for writ of review denied

DYDZAK ON DISCIPLINE

BARNHARDT (MARCUS J.)

S177179 F056267 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KIKUGAWA (BEN

LAEL)

Petition for review denied

S177180 C059043 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HILL (TRAVIS

ALEXANDER)

Petition for review denied

S177182 E046540 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. MCDOWELL (DONNEIL AKEEM)

S177189 B218469 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 LUCAS (MICHAEL) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

S177192 B209513 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. BENJAMIN (CHRISTOPHER DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S177199 B204280 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DAVIDSON (ERIC)

Petition for review denied

S177203 G042704 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 KAMPMEIER (LARRY GENE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S177205 B209505 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. ACEVEDO (JOSE J.)

Petition for review denied

S177220 B207989 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. SMITH (REUBEN RENE)

Petition for review denied

S177221 A121577 First Appellate District, Div. 1 OXFORD (JUDY) v. FOSTERWHEELER, LLC.

Petition for review denied

Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

S177225 A119043 First Appellate District, Div. 2 POLK (JOHN G.) v. POLK (SUSAN)

Petition for review denied

S177227 A122311 First Appellate District, Div. 2 POLK (JOHN G.) v. POLK (SUSAN)

S177235 A122220 First Appellate District, Div. 2 POLK (SUSAN)ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S177237 B207956 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. PINEDA (WILLY

BALDO)

Petition for review denied

S177249 ARAMBULA (GONZALO) v. MARTINEZ (FRANK)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S177269 GOMEZ (ARAMIS A.) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S177272 A114910 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ

(EDGARDO)
Petition for review denied

S177273 H032860 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. NEVAREZ (SAUL

CARRASCO)

Petition for review denied

S177276 A122540 First Appellate District, Div. 4 CLASSIS OF CENTRAL CALIFORNIA v. MIRALOMA

CALIFORNIA V. MIRALOMA
COMMUNITY CHURCH

Petitions for review denied

S177278 A122857 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. PIERCE (JUDY)

Petition for review denied

S177279 D054178 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JACKSON (NOLAN DEMETRIUS)

S177282 E045557 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. BOWLING (MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S177284 C060948 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DAVIS (SHERBY

PEOPLE v. RICHARD (PAUL

LEE)

Petition for review denied

S177285 D054257 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

IN RE G.L.

S177286 A122044 First Appellate District, Div. 3

ALLEN)

Petition for review denied

S177290 C061499 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. AGUILAR (LORENZO FLORES)

Petition for review denied

S177291 H033260 Sixth Appellate District

HOWARD (CHERYL LYNN & HUGH JAMES), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

S177298 B208463 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. AYALA (ALEJANDRO)

Petition for review denied

S177314 F056309 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HOARD III (WILLIAM HALE)

S177317 A122958 First Appellate District, Div. 4 ROSHANDELL

(GHOLAMALI) v. SEARS

ROEBUCK & CO.

Petition for review denied

Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.

S177318 F055519 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. HARR (CARL

STACEY)

Petition for review denied

S177325 C056743 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. NASH (JOSHUA

JAHUE)
Petition for review denied

S177330 A126028 First Appellate District, Div. 2 LASKEY (LAURIE MARIE) v. STRONG INCORPORATED

Petition for review denied

S177335 B209937 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. RODAS (RUDOLFO RENE)

Petition for review denied

S177342 B208612 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. JONES (IRA

DEAN)

Petition for review denied

S177347 B212701 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (MICHAEL ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S177348 G041300 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SEXTON

(BRENDA LYNN)

S177351 A122262 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DEAN (JOHN LYNDON)

Petition for review denied

S177355 F054975 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MCCLENDON (YACUB AVICENNA)

Petition for review denied

S177358 B219264 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HAHN (JAMES GREGORY) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S177361 F055295 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CALLAHAN (KELLY O.)

Petition for review denied

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

S177364 B207523 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BRACAMONTE (JOSE ROJAS)

Petition for review denied

S177368 B208660 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 TANG (DIANE) v. FRAILEY (DALE C.)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S177372 H032557 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (RUBEN JESSE)

Petition for review denied

S177373 A125081 First Appellate District, Div. 5 ZHANG (SHUFEN) v. S.C.

(ZHONG)

S177380 B206115 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

PEOPLE v. TALIBDEEN

(NAASIR)
Petition for review denied

S177389 BERCOVICH ON REINSTATEMENT

Petition for writ of review denied

S177399 B206170 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CASTRO (TRANQUILINO)

Petition for review denied

S177410 C063124 Third Appellate District JONES (WILLIE RAY) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S177412 B194567 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. JONES (MALINDA JEAN)

Petition for review denied

S177413 C059646 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. MCINTOSH

(GARY LEMONT)

Petition for review denied

S177416 C063089 Third Appellate District KALFOUNTZOS

(NIKIFOROS P.) v. S.C. (CALIFORNIA PUBLIC

EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT

SYSTEM)

Petition for review denied

S177429 B211266 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. NAVARRO

(LAWRENCE LIGON)
Petition for review denied

S177431 B210899 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. ESQUEDA (ELIZABETH) Petition for review denied B209701 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 S177432 JOHN (ALEYAMMA) v. LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL **DISTRICT** Petition for review denied H033350 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. HOWARD S177435 (JERMAINE RANDY) Petition for review denied

S177437 E045913 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JAIME L.)

Petition for review denied

S177438 H034819 Sixth Appellate District MIRAMONTES (RODOLFO)
ON H.C.
Petition for review denied

S177442 H033460 Sixth Appellate District IN RE K.P. Petition for review denied

S177443 D054498 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HARVEY (MICHAEL ALONZO)
Petition for review denied

S177444 G041623 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE T.B.** Petition for review denied

S177446 B219530 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 GARREN (PAUL LEE) ON H.C.

S177454 G041853 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JOSE **DEJESUS**) Petition for review denied B219006 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 S177476 **BROWN (PHILIP EDWARD)** ON H.C. Petition for review denied E046565 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. AGUILAR S177480 (MIGUEL ROMO) Petition for review denied A116062 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ANDERSON S177483 (DAVID) Petition for review denied Chin, J., was recused and did not participate. S177487 A121140 First Appellate District, Div. 5 ARMITAGE (ERIC) v. ALLEN (JAMES) Petition for review denied **S177488** C058298/C058397 Third Appellate District MCDANIEL (MARC R.) v. **ORBEA (MARK E.)** Petition for review denied S177490 A119493 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. LAUREANO (LEOVIC ARANETA) Petition for review denied

S177493 B207529 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ALVARADO (RENE OSCAR)

Petition for review denied

S177497 D054086 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

EPLUS GROUP, INC. v. BANC OF AMERICA LEASING & CAPITAL, LLC.

Petition for review denied

Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.

S177499 H034097 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

IN RE CESAR S.

S177507 B209886 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. COLLADO

(MANUEL ANGEL)

Petition for review denied

S177519 G040093 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. HERRING (MAXCUIM NATHANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S177523 B210096 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. SAUCILLO (ARMANDO VALENTINE)

Petition for review denied

S177525 G040707 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. BRADY (JAMES

MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S177574 A126133 First Appellate District, Div. 5

ANTON TRAVEL MANAGEMENT, INC. v. S.C.

(SANCHEZ)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S177818 B218583 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

D.E. ON H.C.

S177931 B219869 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **D.C. ON H.C.** The request for immediate relief and petition for review are denied.

S173033 EDLIN (DENNY WILLIAM) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S173117 VASQUEZ (JOSE

DOMINGUEZ) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S173350 CONDIFF (NORMAN LOUIS)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S173606 DE RUTTE (SEAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S173857 CHEUNG (FRANK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S173877 BLAIR (JOHN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S173899 BUTLER (MAURICE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756.)

S173993 FARRELL (MITCHELL

CLYDE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174000 FEASTER (ALBERT) ON H.C.

S174036 LUAFALEMANA

(VALENTINO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174038 SARIASLAN (RAMIN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174041 CAPPS (WILLIAM R.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174048 MAYS (LUTHER F.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174057 BLAINE (VANCE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S174058 BARNO (RODNEY B.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174084 BANG (TIMMY KYONG) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174103 RIVERA (FRANK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174118 BURKE (CHARLES) ON H.C.

S174139 TUNG (BALWINDER SINGH)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174140 THOMAS (JOSH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174150 ANDERSON (RONALD RAY)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174185 CHAVANA (ADOLPHUS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174222 OLIVAS (PEDRO JOAQUIN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174249 VAUGHN (PAUL F.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734.)

S174255 NASH (JOE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174256 JAMESON (BARRY S.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S174380 DIXON (BENNIE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

ADAIR (EDDIE J.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S174400

PACHECO (JESUS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174408

CASAS (RUDY A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174422

GILMER (JIMMIE LEE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S174423

THOMPSON (NIJAYAH) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S174424

SHOTWELL (MANUEL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S174428

FARRELL (MITCHELL CLYDE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S174433

INOCENCIO (HENRY PAUL)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S174447

TYSON (MORGAN) ON H.C.

GILL (WILEY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S174500

WADDEY (CHARLES A.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174502

BOOTH (RICHARD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174508

GOMEZ (SAMAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174523

LUCKETT (RONNIE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174532

WHITE (TIMOTHY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174557

ROSALES (FRANCISCO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756.)

S174563

AGUAYO (GABRIEL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S174570

FREEMAN (CLIFTON) ON

H.C.

WATSON (DEVON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756.)

S174603

CARR (CLAUDE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S174605

CHANDLER (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174614

COOPER (COURTNEY LAMONT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756.)

S174625

STRICKLAND (MATTHEW

R.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174629

RAMOS, JR., (RAUL FRIDAY)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174634

KELLER (ROGER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174637

LITTMAN (MAX EUGENE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709.)

S174647

BROWN (TRACY J.) ON H.C.

S174648 O'BRIEN (COLIN NEAL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174658 SUTTLES (GERALD) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174661 CARREA, JR.,

(CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174666 MCNUTT (RAY ANTHONY)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174682 GREEN (FREDDIE LEE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S174697 HEMSLEY (AUSTIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S174710 HAIRSTON (JOHNNIE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174714 WILLIAMS III (HENRY C.)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

WAGNER (JESSE ADRIAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S174721

MCCREARY (THOMAS TERRELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709.)

S174723

WAGNER (JESSE ADRIAN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174724

HERMOSILLO, JR., (JOSE L.)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174733

HUTCHINS (CLIFTON) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S174738

COUNCIL (MATTHEW LEE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S174739

PIERCE (JAMES EARL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S174740

CLEMENS (STEVEN ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S174747 BRASHEAR (DEMETRIUS KING) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174751 GUTSCH (STEVEN L.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S174762 COVINGTON (DANNY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174763 WALSH (BENJAMIN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756.)

S174766 WIGGINS (BENNY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

Werdegar and Chin, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S174767 PORTER (NATHAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174775 MARQUEZ (BENITO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218.)

S174782 NGUYEN (LAM) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174799 PEREZ (RUBEN DURRANT)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709.)

LUCHIE (WALTER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174816

DIETLE (DARRELL L.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S174817

WRIGHT (KENNEDY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S174820

NGO (HUNG XUAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S174876

SMITH (JAMES E.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S175013

SMITH (JAMES E.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S175254

KOROTCHENKO (ALEKSEY V.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Wessley W.* (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 240, 246; *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063.)

S175364

CLEMENS (STEVEN ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S175670 VILLALOBOS (SALVADOR)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S175813 MARSHALL (DAVID A.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S175850 LACEY (BILLY J.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S175924 ARI (ROXANNE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S175949 BELL (HORACE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S176135 HEWITT (EDWARD LEE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S176306 CAPPS (WILLIAM R.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S177211 HUNTER (RONNIE M.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756.)

S177308 BELL (STEVEN DALE) ON

H.C.

S177422 BELL (HORACE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S177460 PIERCE (MICHAEL DAVID)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S177481 HEWITT (EDWARD LEE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S177486 WILSON (WILLIE C.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S177536 HARRIS (SMILEY JAMES)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S177633 WALKER (HAROLD) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S177640 CANTERO (LLOYD T.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S177650 MONTGOMERY (GERALD)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S177736 LAGUNAS (FRANK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

BELL (HORACE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S177745

LANDEROS (FRANCISCO)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734.)

S177787

BELL (HORACE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S177478

FLOYD (WILBERT) ON H.C.

Petition withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S175914

A121673 First Appellate District, Div. 3

FUENTES (VICENTE) v. RENT-A-CENTER, INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S176899

B212286 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

MCVEIGH (TOM) v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT

OF JUSTICE

Publication request denied (case closed)

S176936

C054852 Third Appellate District

PERLEGOS (GEORGIA) v.

FRONTIER LAND

COMPANIES

Publication request denied (case closed)

S176948

G040957 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

NELLIE GAIL, RANCH OWNERS ASSOCIATION v.

COLOMBO (RALPH)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S177240 C060174 Third Appellate District

Publication request denied (case closed)

GRAVES (SCOTT) ON H.C.

S177504 B206272 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

DOE (JOHN) v. THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF CASHEL & EMLY

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S176628 C057948 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BUNN (REGINALD THOMAS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 7, 2010.

S176751 E045513 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. CROSBY (STEVEN MURRAY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 7, 2010.

S176760 C056029 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ

(DEREK)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 12, 2010.

S176890

D053123 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. SMITH (BRYAN

DAMMON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 4, 2010.

S176964

B207064 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. SOTOMAYOR

(ELIANA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 6, 2010.

S176967 A113655 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. AMANTE (PETER JAMES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 12, 2010.

S177049 C057177 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SHEPARD, JR., (MALCOLM)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 12, 2010.

S177059 F055219 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LAWRENCE (ERIC JAMES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 11, 2010.

S177088 G040318 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TRAN (JONATHAN PHONG KHANH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 12, 2010.

S177111 A122142 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MCINTOSH (JEFFREY J.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 12, 2010.

S024046 PEOPLE v. O'MALLEY (JAMES FRANCIS)

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to January 19, 2010.

S044592 PEOPLE v. HOMICK (STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 2, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions

totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S047868

PEOPLE v. GEORGE (JOHNATON SAMPSON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Bruce Eric Cohen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 1, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 25, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 130 additional days are contemplated.

S052374

PEOPLE v. BROWN (STEVEN ALLEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Emry J. Allen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 2, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S056766

PEOPLE v. LEON (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Alison Pease's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 22, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 140 additional days are contemplated.

S057156

PEOPLE v. CASE (CHARLES EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Robin Kallman's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 3, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 1, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

PEOPLE v. SILVERIA (DANIEL TODD) & TRAVIS (JOHN RAYMOND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that he anticipates filing appellant John Raymond Travis's opening brief by February 5, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 5, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S065233

PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD DANIEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by September 27, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 29, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

S065467

PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (RONALD BRUCE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Denise Kendall's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by January 6, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 6, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S065573

PEOPLE v. BECERRA (FRANK KALIL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Allison Bernstein's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 30, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 30, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

PEOPLE v. JONES (KIONGOZI)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Jessica K. McGuire's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 16, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S077009

PEOPLE v. CARRASCO (ROBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert Bryan's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 21, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 21, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S080837

PEOPLE v. DEBOSE, JR., (DONALD RAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard P. Siref's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by January 21, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 21, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S082101

PEOPLE v. RHOADES (ROBERT BOYD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard Jay Moller's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 29, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. LOOT (KENDRICK) & MILLSAP (BRUCE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Manuel J. Baglanis's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Bruce Millsap's opening brief by January 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 25, 2010. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 360 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S089609

PEOPLE v. DELGADO (ANTHONY GILBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 10, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 11, 2010. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 360 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S091898

PEOPLE v. RUBIO (GILBERT RAUL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 29, 2010. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant John Anthony Bertsch and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 25, 2010.

PEOPLE v. HENDERSON (PAUL NATHAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Martin H. Dodd's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 25, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S099274

PEOPLE v. BROOKS (DONALD LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John L. Staley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 20, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 21, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S101984

PEOPLE v. CHISM (CALVIN DION)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Zee Rodriguez's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 31, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 1, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S103358

PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCO ESQUIVEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Ellen J. Eggers's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 5, 2010. After that date, only nine further extensions totaling about 510 additional days are contemplated.

S105857

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (LUMORD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Arnold Erickson's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 1, 2010, counsel's

request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 29, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S111336

FIGUEROA (VICENTE BENAVIDES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Kelly E. Lebel's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by August 3, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 29, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S118147

PEOPLE v. MIRANDA-GUERRERO (VICTOR M.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 29, 2010.

S122123

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ANGELINA)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Karen Kelly's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 11, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 19, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S138625

KENNEDY (JERRY NOBLE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Clough's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 1, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 29, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

BENNETT (ERIC WAYNE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Tamara P. Holland's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by the end of January 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to February 1, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S165302

DICKEY (COLIN RAKER) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Kerry R. Bensigner's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 21, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 21, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S171312

RAMIREZ (RICHARD M.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Margaret E. Maxwell's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 22, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 22, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S172438

WATKINS (PAUL SODOA) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Stephanie A. Miyoshi's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 28, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 25, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S173309 A113020 First Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. ARY, JR., (JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to December 2, 2009.

RAMOS, JR., (WILLIAM JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time to file the opposition to respondent's motion for an order to show cause is granted to December 21, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. The filing of the reply to the informal response is deferred pending further order of the court.

S175837

SHELLEY (LEROY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to December 28, 2009.

S173290 C060522 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. S.C. (RAMPONE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of real party in interest for appointment of counsel, Eric Weaver is hereby appointed to represent real party in interest on the appeal now pending in this court.

S083904

PEOPLE v. VERDUGO (NATHAN JAMES)

Record ordered unsealed

On the court's own motion, which appellant does not oppose, the Clerk is directed to unseal clerk's transcript pages 3028-3030, and 3060-3069 and make the unsealed transcript pages part of the public record. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.328.) The Clerk is further directed to provide a copy of these unsealed transcript pages to counsel for respondent.

S172438

WATKINS (PAUL SODOA) ON H.C.

Record ordered unsealed

On the court's own motion, the clerk is directed to unseal the following sealed records from the record in *People v. Paul Sodoa Watkins*, S026634:

- 1. Sealed Reporter's Transcript of the in camera hearings held on May 7, 1991, pages 47-51; August 19, 1991, pages 193-198; August 29, 1991, pages 205-214; September 26, 1991, pages 249-261; October 29, 1991, pages 269-279; November 21, 1991, pages 315-319; November 25, 1991, pages 320-329; November 26, 1991, pages 333-344; December 20, 1991, pages 369-1 to 369-13; and February 3, 1992, pages 389-396.
- 2. Supplemental II Clerk's Transcript (Supp. II CT), Vol. I, pages 2, 3, and 6, Declaration of Charles J. Uhalley; Supp. II CT, Vol. 1, pages 10-12, Declaration of Charles J. Uhalley; and Supp. CT, Vol. 2, pages 429-435, Penal Code section 987.9 materials.

The clerk is further directed to make the unsealed records part of the public record for this appeal and send copies to counsel for respondent.

Respondent's motion to strike the petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed August 4, 2009, is denied as moot.

S105908

PEOPLE v. GHOBRIAL (JOHN SAMUEL)

Motion to file document under seal denied

The "Application to File Application Under Seal for Court Order Granting Access to Prison Staff and Records Relating to Petitioner," filed September 30, 2009, is denied.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to return to counsel for Ghobrial the "Ex Parte Application for Court Order Granting Access to Prison Staff and Records of Petitioner" that was lodged conditionally under seal on September 30, 2009.

S162655 G036774/G037091 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 GOODMAN (RANDALL L.) v. LOZANO (JESUS)

Request for judicial notice granted

The request for judicial notice filed on August 22, 2008, is hereby granted.

S176700 C058065/C059668 Third Appellate District

IN RE G.P.

Order filed

The order filed on November 10, 2009, denying the petition for review, is amended to reflect the above court of appeal numbers.

S176997 EICKMANN, ACCUSATION

OF

Petition denied (accusation)

S177090 SLOAT, ACCUSATION OF

Petition denied (accusation)

S177252 KOVACIC, ACCUSATION OF

Petition denied (accusation)

S177331 AL-KHAYET, ACCUSATION OF

Petition denied (accusation)

S177390 EASTMAN, ACCUSATION

OF

Petition denied (accusation)

S151096 KUMPEL ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of FREDERICK CARLOS KUMPEL, State Bar Number 122073, is revoked. The court further orders that he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. FREDERICK CARLOS KUMPEL is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 60 days of his probation; and
- 2. FREDERICK CARLOS KUMPEL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order filed on September 17, 2009.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2011 and 2012. If FREDERICK CARLOS KUMPEL fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S176780 TAYLOR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DANNY ROBERT TAYLOR, State Bar Number 91924, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DANNY ROBERT TAYLOR is suspended from the practice of law for the first five months of probation with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment which commenced on August 7, 2005, and ended January 25, 2006;
- 2. DANNY ROBERT TAYLOR must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed December 22, 2008, as modified by the Orders filed April 2, 2009, and August 6, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DANNY ROBERT TAYLOR has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176782

LEE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BENJAMIN TAE WOUN LEE, State Bar Number 169034, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BENJAMIN TAE WOUN LEE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. BENJAMIN TAE WOUN LEE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 26, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BENJAMIN TAE WOUN LEE has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BENJAMIN TAE WOUN LEE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176783

JONES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID EVAN JONES, State Bar Number 166794, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DAVID EVAN JONES is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on August 27, 2008), and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. DAVID EVAN JONES must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. DAVID EVAN JONES must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 25, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID EVAN JONES has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that

suspension will be terminated.

DAVID EVAN JONES must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176784

GONG ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that GLORIA MARGARET GONG, State Bar Number 163418, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. GLORIA MARGARET GONG must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176787

CORTEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RONALD JEFF CORTEZ, State Bar Number 220481, is suspended from the practice of law in California for 18 months, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RONALD JEFF CORTEZ is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first six months of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).);
- 2. RONALD JEFF CORTEZ must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 28, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RONALD JEFF CORTEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the 18-month period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RONALD JEFF CORTEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his

suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176789

FUKUSHIMA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT KATSUMI FUKUSHIMA, State Bar Number 70901, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT KATSUMI FUKUSHIMA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. ROBERT KATSUMI FUKUSHIMA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 16, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT KATSUMI FUKUSHIMA has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT KATSUMI FUKUSHIMA must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ROBERT KATSUMI FUKUSHIMA must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176794

LESLIE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOEL LESLIE, State Bar Number 162475, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JOEL LESLIE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;

- 2. JOEL LESLIE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 18, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOEL LESLIE has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOEL LESLIE must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176796

RUPP ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RANDALL KEMP RUPP, State Bar Number 165774, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RANDALL KEMP RUPP is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 90 days, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Anna K. Hurley in the amount of \$3,500 plus 10 percent interest per annum from August 27, 2007, (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Anna K. Hurley, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - ii. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - iii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. RANDALL KEMP RUPP must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

RANDALL KEMP RUPP must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

RANDALL KEMP RUPP must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or

suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176797

OJEDA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BERNAL PETER OJEDA, State Bar Number 140387, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BERNAL PETER OJEDA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. BERNAL PETER OJEDA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 24, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BERNAL PETER OJEDA has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BERNAL PETER OJEDA must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for the years 2010 and 2011. If BERNAL PETER OJEDA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S176798

NEELY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GAROLD LEE NEELY, State Bar Number 189557, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. GAROLD LEE NEELY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 18 months, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).);
- 2. GAROLD LEE NEELY must also comply with the other conditions of probation

- recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 21, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GAROLD LEE NEELY has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GAROLD LEE NEELY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

GAROLD LEE NEELY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176799

JORDAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JAMES FRIEND JORDAN, State Bar Number 74606, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JAMES FRIEND JORDAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. JAMES FRIEND JORDAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 18, 2009, and the Modification Order filed on June 30, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JAMES FRIEND JORDAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JAMES FRIEND JORDAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) JAMES FRIEND JORDAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION JANUARY 6 and 7, 2010

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on January 6 and 7, 2010.

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 6, 2010 — 9:00 A.M.

S164272	International Society for Krishna Consciousness of California, Inc.,				
	et al. v. City of Los Angeles et al.				
S161190	Communities for a Better Environment et al. v. South Coast Air Quality Management				
	Dist. et al. (ConocoPhillips Co., Real Party in Interest) (Kennard and Corrigan, JJ.,				
	not participating; Pollack and Premo, JJ., assigned justices pro tempore)				
S154242	People v. Feyrer (Jesse)				
	<u>1:30 P.M.</u>				
01.66001					
S166221	In re Marriage of Sonne				
S167716	In re David V.				
S052808	People v. Gamache (Richard) [Automatic Appeal]				
	<u>THURSDAY, JANUARY 7, 2010 — 9:00 A.M.</u>				
S167169	Pearson Dental Supplies, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (Luis Turcios,				
2-00,	Real Party in Interest)				
S162435	McCann et al. v. Foster Wheeler				
S160953	People v. Noriega (Daniel Loreto)				
	<u>1:30 P.M.</u>				
S157151	Conservatorship of John L.				
S165680	People v. Picklesimer (Andrew Nelson)				
S060500	People v. D'Arcy (Jonathan) [Automatic Appeal]				
	Chief Justice				

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)